

Logics for probabilistic spatio-temporal reasoning

Dragan Doder¹, John Grant² Zoran Ognjanović³

1 : University of Luxembourg

2 : University of Maryland

2 : Mathematical Institute of Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts

Logic and Applications 2014

Dubrovnik, September 23th 2014

Outline

1 Probabilistic logics

- About probabilistic logics
- Syntax and semantics
- Non-compactness as an axiomatization issue
- Variants

2 PST logics

- The PST framework for probabilistic spatiotemporal databases
- L_{ST+PST}^Q : syntax and semantics
- L_{ST+PST}^Q : a complete axiomatization

3 Future work

- adding temporal operators

Outline

1 Probabilistic logics

- About probabilistic logics
- Syntax and semantics
- Non-compactness as an axiomatization issue
- Variants

2 PST logics

- The PST framework for probabilistic spatiotemporal databases
- $L_{ST+PST}^{\mathbb{Q}}$: syntax and semantics
- $L_{ST+PST}^{\mathbb{Q}}$: a complete axiomatization

3 Future work

- adding temporal operators

What are PLs?

Logic:

- syntax (language, well formed formulas)

What are PLs?

Logic:

- syntax (language, well formed formulas)
- semantics (models, satisfiability)
- consequence relation

What are PLs?

Logic:

- syntax (language, well formed formulas)
- semantics (models, satisfiability)
- consequence relation
- axiomatic system (axioms, rules)
- proof

- The probabilistic logics allow strict reasoning *about* probabilities using well-defined syntax and semantics.
- Formulas in these logics remain either true or false.
- Formulas do not have probabilistic (numerical) truth values.

Probabilistic quantifiers and operators

Probabilistic quantifiers and operators

Quantifiers – statistical probability:

Probabilistic quantifiers and operators

Quantifiers – statistical probability:

- Model theory (Keisler, mid 70's)

Probabilistic quantifiers and operators

Quantifiers – statistical probability:

- Model theory (Keisler, mid 70's)
- generalization of \forall, \exists

Probabilistic quantifiers and operators

Quantifiers – statistical probability:

- Model theory (Keisler, mid 70's)
- generalization of \forall, \exists
- $P_{x \geq r} \alpha(x)$

Probabilistic quantifiers and operators

Quantifiers – statistical probability:

- Model theory (Keisler, mid 70's)
- generalization of \forall, \exists
- $P_{x \geq r} \alpha(x)$
- semantics: $\mu(\{a \mid \mathcal{M} \models \alpha(a)\}) \geq r$

Probabilistic quantifiers and operators

Quantifiers – statistical probability:

- Model theory (Keisler, mid 70's)
- generalization of \forall, \exists
- $P_{x \geq r} \alpha(x)$
- semantics: $\mu(\{a \mid \mathcal{M} \models \alpha(a)\}) \geq r$

Operators – subjective probability:

Probabilistic quantifiers and operators

Quantifiers – statistical probability:

- Model theory (Keisler, mid 70's)
- generalization of \forall, \exists
- $P_{x \geq r} \alpha(x)$
- semantics: $\mu(\{a \mid \mathcal{M} \models \alpha(a)\}) \geq r$

Operators – subjective probability:

- Theoretical computer science (Fagin, Halpern, Megiddo, 1990)

Probabilistic quantifiers and operators

Quantifiers – statistical probability:

- Model theory (Keisler, mid 70's)
- generalization of \forall, \exists
- $P_{x \geq r} \alpha(x)$
- semantics: $\mu(\{a \mid \mathcal{M} \models \alpha(a)\}) \geq r$

Operators – subjective probability:

- Theoretical computer science (Fagin, Halpern, Megiddo, 1990)
- generalization of \Box, \Diamond

Probabilistic quantifiers and operators

Quantifiers – statistical probability:

- Model theory (Keisler, mid 70's)
- generalization of \forall, \exists
- $P_{x \geq r} \alpha(x)$
- semantics: $\mu(\{a \mid \mathcal{M} \models \alpha(a)\}) \geq r$

Operators – subjective probability:

- Theoretical computer science (Fagin, Halpern, Megiddo, 1990)
- generalization of \Box, \Diamond
- $P_{\geq r} \alpha \quad (P(\alpha) \geq r)$

Probabilistic quantifiers and operators

Quantifiers – statistical probability:

- Model theory (Keisler, mid 70's)
- generalization of \forall, \exists
- $P_{x \geq r} \alpha(x)$
- semantics: $\mu(\{a \mid \mathcal{M} \models \alpha(a)\}) \geq r$

Operators – subjective probability:

- Theoretical computer science (Fagin, Halpern, Megiddo, 1990)
- generalization of \Box, \Diamond
- $P_{\geq r} \alpha \quad (P(\alpha) \geq r)$
- semantics: modal semantics – measure of all worlds in which α holds is at least r

Examples of probability formulas

Examples of probability formulas

- $P(\alpha) \leq \frac{1}{3} \wedge P(\beta) = \frac{1}{5} \rightarrow P(\alpha \vee \beta) < \frac{8}{15}$

Examples of probability formulas

- $P(\alpha) \leq \frac{1}{3} \wedge P(\beta) = \frac{1}{5} \rightarrow P(\alpha \vee \beta) < \frac{8}{15}$
- $2P(\alpha) - 3P(\beta) + \frac{1}{2} \geq \frac{1}{3}P(\gamma)$ (LWF)

Examples of probability formulas

- $P(\alpha) \leq \frac{1}{3} \wedge P(\beta) = \frac{1}{5} \rightarrow P(\alpha \vee \beta) < \frac{8}{15}$
- $2P(\alpha) - 3P(\beta) + \frac{1}{2} \geq \frac{1}{3}P(\gamma)$ (LWF)
- $P(\alpha \wedge \beta) \geq \frac{1}{2}P(\beta)$

Examples of probability formulas

- $P(\alpha) \leq \frac{1}{3} \wedge P(\beta) = \frac{1}{5} \rightarrow P(\alpha \vee \beta) < \frac{8}{15}$
- $2P(\alpha) - 3P(\beta) + \frac{1}{2} \geq \frac{1}{3}P(\gamma)$ (LWF)
- $P(\alpha \wedge \beta) \geq \frac{1}{2}P(\beta)$
- $P(\alpha) + 2P(\beta)P(\gamma) \geq \frac{2}{3}$ (PWF)

Outline

1 Probabilistic logics

- About probabilistic logics
- **Syntax and semantics**
- Non-compactness as an axiomatization issue
- Variants

2 PST logics

- The PST framework for probabilistic spatiotemporal databases
- $L_{ST+PST}^{\mathbb{Q}}$: syntax and semantics
- $L_{ST+PST}^{\mathbb{Q}}$: a complete axiomatization

3 Future work

- adding temporal operators

Syntax

$r \in \mathbb{Q} \cup [0, 1]$; probability operator $P_{\geq r}\alpha$ ($P_{\geq 1} \approx \Box$)

Syntax

$r \in \mathbb{Q} \cup [0, 1]$; probability operator $P_{\geq r}\alpha$ ($P_{\geq 1} \approx \Box$)

- Language:

Syntax

$r \in \mathbb{Q} \cup [0, 1]$; probability operator $P_{\geq r}\alpha$ ($P_{\geq 1} \approx \Box$)

- Language:
 - propositional letters $\{p, q, r, \dots\}$
 - Boolean connectives \neg, \wedge
 - a list of probability operators $P_{\geq r}$

Syntax

$r \in \mathbb{Q} \cup [0, 1]$; probability operator $P_{\geq r}\alpha$ ($P_{\geq 1} \approx \Box$)

- Language:
 - propositional letters $\{p, q, r, \dots\}$
 - Boolean connectives \neg, \wedge
 - a list of probability operators $P_{\geq r}$
- The set of formulas is the smallest set containing propositional letters and closed under \neg, \wedge and $P_{\geq r}$

Semantics

Semantics

- $\langle W, Prob, v \rangle$

Semantics

- $\langle W, Prob, v \rangle$
 - $W \neq \emptyset$ – worlds

Semantics

- $\langle W, Prob, v \rangle$
 - $W \neq \emptyset$ – worlds
 - $v : W \times \mathcal{P} \longrightarrow \{\top, \perp\}$ – valuations

Semantics

- $\langle W, Prob, v \rangle$
 - $W \neq \emptyset$ – worlds
 - $v : W \times \mathcal{P} \longrightarrow \{\top, \perp\}$ – valuations
 - $Prob$ assigns to every $w \in W$ a probability space
 $Prob(w) = \langle W(w), H(w), \mu(w) \rangle$:

Semantics

- $\langle W, Prob, v \rangle$
 - $W \neq \emptyset$ – worlds
 - $v : W \times \mathcal{P} \longrightarrow \{\top, \perp\}$ – valuations
 - $Prob$ assigns to every $w \in W$ a probability space $Prob(w) = \langle W(w), H(w), \mu(w) \rangle$:
 - $W(w)$ – a non empty subset of W ,
 - $H(w)$ – an algebra of subsets of $W(w)$
 - $\mu(w) : H(w) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ – a finitely additive probability measure on $H(w)$.

Satisfiability relation

- $\mathbf{M}, w \models \alpha$ iff $v(w)(p) = \top$,

Satisfiability relation

- $\mathbf{M}, w \models \alpha$ iff $v(w)(p) = \top$,
- $\mathbf{M}, w \models \neg\alpha$ iff $\mathbf{M}, w \not\models \alpha$,
- $\mathbf{M}, w \models \alpha \wedge \beta$ iff $\mathbf{M}, w \models \alpha$ and $\mathbf{M}, w \models \beta$, and

Satisfiability relation

- $\mathbf{M}, w \models \alpha$ iff $v(w)(p) = \top$,
- $\mathbf{M}, w \models \neg\alpha$ iff $\mathbf{M}, w \not\models \alpha$,
- $\mathbf{M}, w \models \alpha \wedge \beta$ iff $\mathbf{M}, w \models \alpha$ and $\mathbf{M}, w \models \beta$, and
- $\mathbf{M}, w \models P_{\geq s}\alpha$ iff $\mu(w)([\alpha]_{\mathbf{M},w}) \geq s$

$$[\alpha]_{\mathbf{M},w} = \{u \in W(w) : \mathbf{M}, u \models \alpha\}$$

Outline

1 Probabilistic logics

- About probabilistic logics
- Syntax and semantics
- Non-compactness as an axiomatization issue
- Variants

2 PST logics

- The PST framework for probabilistic spatiotemporal databases
- L_{ST+PST}^Q : syntax and semantics
- L_{ST+PST}^Q : a complete axiomatization

3 Future work

- adding temporal operators

Example

- Inherent non-compactness:

$$T = \{\neg P_{=0}p\} \cup \{P_{<1/n}p : n \text{ is a positive integer}\}$$

Example

- Inherent non-compactness:

$$T = \{\neg P_{=0}p\} \cup \{P_{<1/n}p : n \text{ is a positive integer}\}$$

- $T_k = \{\neg P_{=0}p, P_{<1/1}p, P_{<1/2}p, \dots, P_{<1/k}p\}$
- $c: 0 < c < \frac{1}{k}, \quad \mu[p] = c$
- M satisfies every T_k , but does not satisfy T

Example

- Inherent non-compactness:

$$T = \{\neg P_{=0}p\} \cup \{P_{<1/n}p : n \text{ is a positive integer}\}$$

- $T_k = \{\neg P_{=0}p, P_{<1/1}p, P_{<1/2}p, \dots, P_{<1/k}p\}$
- $c: 0 < c < \frac{1}{k}, \quad \mu[p] = c$
- M satisfies every T_k , but does not satisfy T
- finitary (recursive) axiomatization + strong completeness \Rightarrow compactness
- finitary axiomatization for real valued probabilistic logics: there are consistent sets that are not satisfiable

Approaches

- weak completeness

Approaches

- weak completeness
- Restrictions on ranges of probabilities: $\{0, \frac{1}{n}, \frac{2}{n}, \dots, \frac{n-1}{n}, 1\}$

Approaches

- weak completeness
- Restrictions on ranges of probabilities: $\{0, \frac{1}{n}, \frac{2}{n}, \dots, \frac{n-1}{n}, 1\}$
- infinitary axiomatization

Infinitary inference rule

Infinitary formula:

“if a -probability of α is infinitely close to the rational number $r \in [0, 1]$, then it must be equal to r ”

Infinitary inference rule

Infinitary formula:

“if a -probability of α is infinitely close to the rational number $r \in [0, 1]$, then it must be equal to r ”

Intuitive form of the rule:

Infinitary inference rule

Infinitary formula:

“if a -probability of α is infinitely close to the rational number $r \in [0, 1]$, then it must be equal to r ”

Intuitive form of the rule:

$$\frac{\{P_{>r-\frac{1}{n}}\alpha \mid n \in \omega\}}{P_{\geq r}\alpha}$$

Infinitary inference rule

Infinitary formula:

“if a -probability of α is infinitely close to the rational number $r \in [0, 1]$, then it must be equal to r ”

Intuitive form of the rule:

$$\frac{\{P_{>r-\frac{1}{n}}\alpha \mid n \in \omega\}}{P_{\geq r}\alpha}$$

+ implicative form of the rule (for proving Deduction theorem)

Outline

1 Probabilistic logics

- About probabilistic logics
- Syntax and semantics
- Non-compactness as an axiomatization issue
- Variants

2 PST logics

- The PST framework for probabilistic spatiotemporal databases
- L_{ST+PST}^Q : syntax and semantics
- L_{ST+PST}^Q : a complete axiomatization

3 Future work

- adding temporal operators

Basic variants

- without iteration of probabilities

$$P_{\geq s}P_{\geq t}\alpha, \quad \beta \vee P_{\geq s}\alpha \notin \text{For}$$

Basic variants

- without iteration of probabilities

$$P_{\geq s}P_{\geq t}\alpha, \quad \beta \vee P_{\geq s}\alpha \notin For$$

- first order logic

Basic variants

- without iteration of probabilities

$$P_{\geq s}P_{\geq t}\alpha, \quad \beta \vee P_{\geq s}\alpha \notin For$$

- first order logic
- values of probability functions in non-Archimedean structures

Basic variants

- without iteration of probabilities

$$P_{\geq s}P_{\geq t}\alpha, \quad \beta \vee P_{\geq s}\alpha \notin For$$

- first order logic
- values of probability functions in non-Archimedean structures
- change underlying logic

(Probabilistic) extension of syntax

- conditional probabilities

(Probabilistic) extension of syntax

- conditional probabilities
- \preceq – qualitative probability operator

If $\alpha, \beta \in For_C$, $\mathbf{M} \models \alpha \preceq \beta$ iff $\mu([\alpha]) \leq \mu([\beta])$,

(Probabilistic) extension of syntax

- conditional probabilities
- \preceq – qualitative probability operator

If $\alpha, \beta \in For_C$, $\mathbf{M} \models \alpha \preceq \beta$ iff $\mu([\alpha]) \leq \mu([\beta])$,

- independency operator (todo)
- probability of a formula belongs to a countable set

Outline

- 1 Probabilistic logics
 - About probabilistic logics
 - Syntax and semantics
 - Non-compactness as an axiomatization issue
 - Variants
- 2 PST logics
 - The PST framework for probabilistic spatiotemporal databases
 - L_{ST+PST}^Q : syntax and semantics
 - L_{ST+PST}^Q : a complete axiomatization
- 3 Future work
 - adding temporal operators

PST atom

- GPS systems – possibility of tracking moving objects (vehicles, cell phones...)
- AI – representing such information
 - involve space and time
 - probability (uncertainty about the identity of an object, its exact location or time value)

PST atom

- GPS systems – possibility of tracking moving objects (vehicles, cell phones...)
- AI – representing such information
 - involve space and time
 - probability (uncertainty about the identity of an object, its exact location or time value)
- ST (SpatioTemporal) atom: $loc(id, r, t)$
- a particular object id is in a particular region r at a particular time t

PST atom

- GPS systems – possibility of tracking moving objects (vehicles, cell phones...)
- AI – representing such information
 - involve space and time
 - probability (uncertainty about the identity of an object, its exact location or time value)
- ST (SpatioTemporal) atom: $loc(id, r, t)$
- a particular object id is in a particular region r at a particular time t
- PST (Probabilistic SpatioTemporal) atom: $loc(id, r, t)[\ell, u]$

PST database

- PST database is any set of PST atoms

PST database

- PST database is any set of PST atoms
- Semantics:
 - (possible) world – mapping of objects (for every time instance) in space (+ reachability constraints)
 - interpretation – probability distribution over worlds

Limitations of PST formalism

- "Dragan is in Luxembourg", "Dragan is in Dubrovnik"
- but not "Dragan is in Luxembourg or Dubrovnik"

Limitations of PST formalism

- "Dragan is in Luxembourg", "Dragan is in Dubrovnik"
- but not "Dragan is in Luxembourg or Dubrovnik"
- $loc(Bus1, Q, 5)$ and $loc(Bus2, R, 6)[.4, 1]$
- but not $loc(Bus1, Q, 5)$ or $loc(Bus2, R, 6)[.4, 1]$

Outline

1 Probabilistic logics

- About probabilistic logics
- Syntax and semantics
- Non-compactness as an axiomatization issue
- Variants

2 PST logics

- The PST framework for probabilistic spatiotemporal databases
- L_{ST+PST}^Q : syntax and semantics
- L_{ST+PST}^Q : a complete axiomatization

3 Future work

- adding temporal operators

ST formula

Definition (ID, S, T)

- ID is a finite set of objects.
- S is a finite set of points in space.
- $T = \{1, \dots, N\}$ is a finite set of time instances.

ST formula

Definition (ID, S, T)

- ID is a finite set of objects.
- S is a finite set of points in space.
- $T = \{1, \dots, N\}$ is a finite set of time instances.

Definition (ST formula)

- An *ST atom*: a formula of the form $loc(id, r, t)$, where $id \in ID$, $t \in T$, and $r \subseteq S$
- *ST formula*: a Boolean combination of ST atoms;
connectives: \sim (negation), $\&$ (conjunction), $|$ (disjunction), \supset (implication), and \equiv (equivalence).
- Notation: \mathcal{A} – the set of ST formulas; α, β – ST formulas.

PST formula

Definition (PST formula)

- *Basic PST atom*: a formula of the form $\alpha[0, u]$, where $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$.
- *PST formula*: a Boolean combination of basic PST atoms; connectives: \neg , \wedge , \vee , \rightarrow , and \leftrightarrow
- Notation: \mathcal{P} – the set of all PST formulas; ρ and σ – PST formulas

PST formula

Definition (PST formula)

- *Basic PST atom*: a formula of the form $\alpha[0, u]$, where $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$.
- *PST formula*: a Boolean combination of basic PST atoms; connectives: \neg , \wedge , \vee , \rightarrow , and \leftrightarrow
- Notation: \mathcal{P} – the set of all PST formulas; ρ and σ – PST formulas

Abbreviations:

- $\alpha(\ell, 1]$ is $\neg \alpha[0, \ell]$.
- $\alpha[\ell, 1]$ is $\sim \alpha[0, 1 - \ell]$.
- $\alpha[0, u)$ is $\neg \alpha[u, 1]$.
- if $0 \leq \ell \leq u \leq 1$, then $\alpha[\ell, u]$ is $\alpha[0, u] \wedge \alpha[\ell, 1]$.
- if $0 \leq \ell < u \leq 1$, we define $\alpha[\ell, u)$, $\alpha(\ell, u]$ and $\alpha(\ell, u)$ similarly as above.

Formula

Example of ST formula:

$loc(id_2, \{p_2, p_4\}, 2) \& loc(id_2, \{p_2, p_4\}, 3)$

Formula

Example of ST formula:

$$loc(id_2, \{p_2, p_4\}, 2) \& loc(id_2, \{p_2, p_4\}, 3)$$

Example of PST formula:

$$loc(id_1, \{p_2, p_3\}, 1)[0, .5] \vee \\ (loc(id_2, \{p_2, p_4\}, 2) \& loc(id_2, \{p_2, p_4\}, 3))[.5, 1]$$

Formula

Example of ST formula:

$$loc(id_2, \{p_2, p_4\}, 2) \& loc(id_2, \{p_2, p_4\}, 3)$$

Example of PST formula:

$$loc(id_1, \{p_2, p_3\}, 1)[0, .5] \vee \\ (loc(id_2, \{p_2, p_4\}, 2) \& loc(id_2, \{p_2, p_4\}, 3))[.5, 1]$$

Definition (Formula)

- $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{P}$
- Notation: ϕ and ψ -formulas; set of arbitrary formulas is indicated by Φ

World

- Reachability definition $RD \subseteq S \times S$
- $(p, p') \in RD$ – an *id* can reach p' from p in one unit of time.

World

- Reachability definition $RD \subseteq S \times S$
- $(p, p') \in RD$ – an *id* can reach p' from p in one unit of time.

Definition (World)

An RD-compliant *world* is a function $w : ID \times T \longrightarrow S$, satisfying the condition:

if $w(id, t) = p_1$ and $w(id, t + 1) = p_2$ then $(p_1, p_2) \in RD$.

World

- Reachability definition $RD \subseteq S \times S$
- $(p, p') \in RD$ – an *id* can reach p' from p in one unit of time.

Definition (World)

An RD-compliant *world* is a function $w : ID \times T \longrightarrow S$, satisfying the condition:

if $w(id, t) = p_1$ and $w(id, t + 1) = p_2$ then $(p_1, p_2) \in RD$.

Definition (Valuation)

Given W , the valuation $v_W : \mathcal{A} \times W \longrightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is defined as follows:

- $v_W(\text{loc}(id, r, t), w) = 1$ iff $w(id, t) \in r$,
- $v_W(\alpha \& \beta, w) = 1$ iff $v_W(\alpha, w) = 1$ and $v_W(\beta, w) = 1$,
- $v_W(\sim \alpha, w) = 1$ iff $v_W(\alpha, w) = 0$.

Model

Definition (Interpretation)

An *interpretation* $I : W \longrightarrow [0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$ is a probability distribution over W , i.e., a nonnegative function such that

$$\sum_{w \in W} I(w) = 1.$$

Model

Definition (Interpretation)

An *interpretation* $I : W \longrightarrow [0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$ is a probability distribution over W , i.e., a nonnegative function such that

$$\sum_{w \in W} I(w) = 1.$$

Definition (PST Structure)

A *PST structure* is a pair $\langle W, I \rangle$ where W is a (nonempty) set of worlds and I is an interpretation.

Satisfiability

Definition (Satisfiability)

Let $M = \langle W, I \rangle$ be a PST structure. We define the satisfiability relation \models recursively as follows:

- $M \models \alpha$ iff $v_W(\alpha, w) = 1$ for all $w \in W$.
- $M \models \alpha[0, u]$ iff $\sum_{v_W(\alpha, w)=1} I(w) \leq u$.
- $M \models \neg\rho$ iff $M \not\models \rho$.
- $M \models \rho \wedge \sigma$ iff $M \models \rho$ and $M \models \sigma$.

Satisfiability

Definition (Satisfiability)

Let $M = \langle W, I \rangle$ be a PST structure. We define the satisfiability relation \models recursively as follows:

- $M \models \alpha$ iff $v_W(\alpha, w) = 1$ for all $w \in W$.
- $M \models \alpha[0, u]$ iff $\sum_{v_W(\alpha, w)=1} I(w) \leq u$.
- $M \models \neg \rho$ iff $M \not\models \rho$.
- $M \models \rho \wedge \sigma$ iff $M \models \rho$ and $M \models \sigma$.

Definition (Entailment)

- M is a *model* of Φ , $M \models \Phi$, iff $M \models \phi$ for every $\phi \in \Phi$
- $\Phi \models \phi$, Φ *entails* ϕ , iff all models of Φ are models of ϕ .
- ϕ is *valid*, that is, it is satisfied in every PST structure.

Outline

1 Probabilistic logics

- About probabilistic logics
- Syntax and semantics
- Non-compactness as an axiomatization issue
- Variants

2 PST logics

- The PST framework for probabilistic spatiotemporal databases
- L_{ST+PST}^Q : syntax and semantics
- L_{ST+PST}^Q : a complete axiomatization

3 Future work

- adding temporal operators

Axioms

Propositional reasoning

- All instances of classical propositional tautologies for both ST and PST formulas.

Axioms

Propositional reasoning

- All instances of classical propositional tautologies for both ST and PST formulas.

Spatio-temporal axioms

- $loc(id, S, t)$.
- $loc(id, r, t) \equiv \bigvee_{p \in r} loc(id, \{p\}, t)$.
- $loc(id, \{p\}, t) \supset \sim loc(id, \{p'\}, t), p \neq p'$.
- $\sim (loc(id, \{p\}, t) \& loc(id, \{p'\}, t + 1)), (p, p') \notin RD, t < N$.

Axioms

Probabilistic axioms

- $\alpha[0, 1]$.
- $\alpha[\ell, u] \rightarrow \alpha[\ell, u]$.
- $\alpha[\ell, u] \rightarrow \alpha[\ell, u'], u < u'$.
- $(\alpha[\ell, u] \wedge \beta[\ell', u'] \wedge \sim(\alpha \& \beta)[1, 1]) \rightarrow \alpha|\beta[\ell'', u''],$
 $\ell'' = \min\{\ell + \ell', 1\}, u'' = \min\{u + u', 1\}.$
- $\alpha[0, u] \wedge \beta[0, u'] \rightarrow \alpha|\beta[0, u''), u'' = u + u', u + u' \leq 1.$

$L_{\zeta T + p\zeta T}^{\mathbb{Q}}$: a complete axiomatization

Inference rules

- (a) From α and $\alpha \supset \beta$ infer β
- (b) From ρ and $\rho \rightarrow \sigma$ infer σ .
- From α infer $\alpha[1, 1]$.

Inference rules

- (a) From α and $\alpha \supset \beta$ infer β
- (b) From ρ and $\rho \rightarrow \sigma$ infer σ .
- From α infer $\alpha[1, 1]$.
- From the set of premises

$$\{\rho \rightarrow \neg\alpha[q, q] \mid q \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]\}$$

infer $\neg\rho$.

- ϕ is deducible from Φ ($\Phi \vdash \phi$) if there is an at most countable sequence of formulas $\phi_0, \phi_1, \dots, \phi$, such that every ϕ_i is an axiom or a formula from the set Φ , or is derived from the preceding formulas by an inference rule.
- ϕ is a theorem if $\emptyset \vdash \phi$

- ϕ is deducible from Φ ($\Phi \vdash \phi$) if there is an at most countable sequence of formulas $\phi_0, \phi_1, \dots, \phi$, such that every ϕ_i is an axiom or a formula from the set Φ , or is derived from the preceding formulas by an inference rule.
- ϕ is a theorem if $\emptyset \vdash \phi$
- Φ is consistent if there is no PST formula ρ such that $\Phi \vdash \rho \wedge \neg \rho$
- Φ is maximal consistent if it is consistent and for all $\psi \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \Phi$, $\Phi \cup \{\psi\}$ is inconsistent

L_{ST+PST}^Q : a complete axiomatization

Some theorems of L_{ST+PST}^Q

Theorem (Deduction theorem)

Let Φ be a set of formulas.

(a) $\Phi \cup \{\alpha\} \vdash \beta$ iff $\Phi \vdash \alpha \supset \beta$.

(b) $\Phi \cup \{\rho\} \vdash \sigma$ iff $\Phi \vdash \rho \rightarrow \sigma$.

$L_{\zeta T+P\zeta T}^Q$: a complete axiomatization

Some theorems of L_{ST+PST}^Q

Theorem (Deduction theorem)

Let Φ be a set of formulas.

(a) $\Phi \cup \{\alpha\} \vdash \beta$ iff $\Phi \vdash \alpha \supset \beta$.

(b) $\Phi \cup \{\rho\} \vdash \sigma$ iff $\Phi \vdash \rho \rightarrow \sigma$.

Lemma

(a) $\{\alpha \equiv \beta\} \vdash \alpha[\ell, u] \leftrightarrow \beta[\ell, u]$

(b) $\vdash \parallel_{w \in \overline{W}} \&_{id \in ID} \&_{t=1}^N loc(id, \{w(id, t)\}, t)$

L_{ST+PST}^Q : a complete axiomatization

Some theorems of L_{ST+PST}^Q

Theorem (Deduction theorem)

Let Φ be a set of formulas.

(a) $\Phi \cup \{\alpha\} \vdash \beta$ iff $\Phi \vdash \alpha \supset \beta$.

(b) $\Phi \cup \{\rho\} \vdash \sigma$ iff $\Phi \vdash \rho \rightarrow \sigma$.

Lemma

(a) $\{\alpha \equiv \beta\} \vdash \alpha[\ell, u] \leftrightarrow \beta[\ell, u]$

(b) $\vdash \parallel_{w \in \overline{W}} \&_{id \in ID} \&_{t=1}^N loc(id, \{w(id, t)\}, t)$

Lemma

For a maximal consistent set Φ and ST formula α there is a unique $\mu(\alpha)$ such that $\Phi \vdash \alpha[\mu(\alpha), \mu(\alpha)]$.

$L_{\zeta T + p\zeta T}^{\mathbb{Q}}$: a complete axiomatization

Completion

Φ – a theory

$\{\alpha_i \mid i \in \omega\}$ – an enumeration of all ST-formulas

$\{\rho_i \mid i \in \omega\}$ – an enumeration of all ST-formulas

Completion

Φ – a theory

$\{\alpha_i \mid i \in \omega\}$ – an enumeration of all ST-formulas

$\{\rho_i \mid i \in \omega\}$ – an enumeration of all ST-formulas

- ① $\Phi_0 = \Phi$.
- ② If ρ_i is consistent with Φ_{3i} , then $\Phi_{3i+1} = \Phi_{3i} \cup \{\rho_i\}$,
otherwise $\Phi_{3i+1} = \Phi_{3i}$.
- ③ $\Phi_{3i+2} = \Phi_{3i+1} \cup \{\alpha_i[q, q]\}$, where $q \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$ is a number
such that Φ_{3i+2} is consistent.
- ④ If α_i is consistent with Φ_{3i+2} , then $\Phi_{3i+3} = \Phi_{3i+2} \cup \{\alpha_i\}$,
otherwise $\Phi_{3i+3} = \Phi_{3i+2}$.
- ⑤ $\Phi^* = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \Phi_n$.

Completion

Φ – a theory

$\{\alpha_i \mid i \in \omega\}$ – an enumeration of all ST-formulas

$\{\rho_i \mid i \in \omega\}$ – an enumeration of all ST-formulas

- 1 $\Phi_0 = \Phi$.
- 2 If ρ_i is consistent with Φ_{3i} , then $\Phi_{3i+1} = \Phi_{3i} \cup \{\rho_i\}$,
otherwise $\Phi_{3i+1} = \Phi_{3i}$.
- 3 $\Phi_{3i+2} = \Phi_{3i+1} \cup \{\alpha_i[q, q]\}$, where $q \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$ is a number
such that Φ_{3i+2} is consistent.
- 4 If α_i is consistent with Φ_{3i+2} , then $\Phi_{3i+3} = \Phi_{3i+2} \cup \{\alpha_i\}$,
otherwise $\Phi_{3i+3} = \Phi_{3i+2}$.
- 5 $\Phi^* = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \Phi_n$.

Finite consistency \neq consistency!

$L_{\zeta T + p\zeta T}^{\mathbb{Q}}$: a complete axiomatization

Canonical model

$$\Phi \subseteq \Phi^*$$

$$M^* = \langle W, I \rangle:$$

Canonical model

$$\Phi \subseteq \Phi^*$$

$$M^* = \langle W, I \rangle:$$

- $W = \{w \in \overline{W} \mid \forall \alpha \in \Phi^*, \Phi^* \vdash \alpha_w \supset \alpha\}.$
- $I(w) = \mu(\alpha_w)$, where $\Phi^* \vdash \alpha[\mu(\alpha), \mu(\alpha)]$

$L_{\zeta T + P\zeta T}^{\mathbb{Q}}$: a complete axiomatization

Canonical model

$$\Phi \subseteq \Phi^*$$

$$M^* = \langle W, I \rangle:$$

- $W = \{w \in \overline{W} \mid \forall \alpha \in \Phi^*, \Phi^* \vdash \alpha_w \supset \alpha\}.$
- $I(w) = \mu(\alpha_w)$, where $\Phi^* \vdash \alpha[\mu(\alpha), \mu(\alpha)]$

Theorem

M^* is a PST structure.

$L_{\zeta T + P\zeta T}^{\mathbb{Q}}$: a complete axiomatization

Strong completeness theorem

$M^* \models \rho$ iff $\Phi^* \vdash \rho$ It follows that:

$L_{\zeta T + p\zeta T}^0$: a complete axiomatization

Strong completeness theorem

$M^* \models \rho$ iff $\Phi^* \vdash \rho$ It follows that:

Theorem

Every consistent set Φ of formulas has a model.

Corollary

If $\Phi \models \phi$ then $\Phi \vdash \phi$.

$L_{\zeta T+P\zeta T}^{\mathbb{Q}}$: a complete axiomatization

Real-valued logic $L_{ST+PST}^{\mathbb{R}}$

R3a. From the set of premises

$$\{\rho \rightarrow \beta[\ell - \frac{1}{n}, 1] \mid n \in \omega \setminus \{0\}, \ell - \frac{1}{n} \geq 0\}$$

infer $\rho \rightarrow \beta[\ell, 1]$.

$L_{\zeta T+P\zeta T}^Q$: a complete axiomatization

Real-valued logic $L_{ST+PST}^{\mathbb{R}}$

R3a. From the set of premises

$$\{\rho \rightarrow \beta[\ell - \frac{1}{n}, 1] \mid n \in \omega \setminus \{0\}, \ell - \frac{1}{n} \geq 0\}$$

infer $\rho \rightarrow \beta[\ell, 1]$.

The construction of Φ^* : $\mathcal{F} = \{\psi_i \mid i = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$

- ① $\Phi_0 = \Phi$.
- ② If ψ_i is consistent with Φ_i , then $\Phi_{i+1} = \Phi_i \cup \{\psi_i\}$.
- ③ If ψ_i is not consistent with Φ_i , then there are two cases:
 - ① If $\psi_i = \rho \rightarrow \beta[\ell, 1]$, then

$$\Phi_{i+1} = \Phi_i \cup \{\rho \rightarrow \beta[0, \ell - \frac{1}{n}]\},$$

where n is a positive integer such that Φ_{i+1} is consistent.

- ② Otherwise, $\Phi_{i+1} = \Phi_i$.

$L_{\zeta T+P\zeta T}^{\mathbb{Q}}$: a complete axiomatization

Real-valued logic $L_{ST+PST}^{\mathbb{R}}$

R3a. From the set of premises

$$\{\rho \rightarrow \beta[\ell - \frac{1}{n}, 1] \mid n \in \omega \setminus \{0\}, \ell - \frac{1}{n} \geq 0\}$$

infer $\rho \rightarrow \beta[\ell, 1]$.

The construction of Φ^* : $\mathcal{F} = \{\psi_i \mid i = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$

- ① $\Phi_0 = \Phi$.
- ② If ψ_i is consistent with Φ_i , then $\Phi_{i+1} = \Phi_i \cup \{\psi_i\}$.
- ③ If ψ_i is not consistent with Φ_i , then there are two cases:
 - ① If $\psi_i = \rho \rightarrow \beta[\ell, 1]$, then

$$\Phi_{i+1} = \Phi_i \cup \{\rho \rightarrow \beta[0, \ell - \frac{1}{n}]\},$$

where n is a positive integer such that Φ_{i+1} is consistent.

- ② Otherwise, $\Phi_{i+1} = \Phi_i$.

$$I(w) = \sup\{\ell \in [0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q} \mid \Phi^* \vdash \alpha_w[\ell, 1]\}$$

Outline

1 Probabilistic logics

- About probabilistic logics
- Syntax and semantics
- Non-compactness as an axiomatization issue
- Variants

2 PST logics

- The PST framework for probabilistic spatiotemporal databases
- L_{ST+PST}^Q : syntax and semantics
- L_{ST+PST}^Q : a complete axiomatization

3 Future work

- adding temporal operators

Temporal operators

Temporal operators (CTL*)

Basic:

- \bigcirc – next, U – until
 - $\bigcirc\alpha$: α has to hold at the next state
 - $\alpha U \beta$: α has to hold at least until β , which holds at the current or a future moment
- A – universal path operator (branching time)

Temporal operators

Temporal operators (CTL*)

Basic:

- \bigcirc – next, U – until
 - $\bigcirc\alpha$: α has to hold at the next state
 - $\alpha U \beta$: α has to hold at least until β , which holds at the current or a future moment
- A – universal path operator (branching time)

Other:

- $F\alpha$ is $\top U \alpha$ – sometime
- $G\alpha$ is $\neg F \neg \alpha$ – always
- $E\alpha$ is $\neg A \neg \alpha$ – existential path operator

Temporal base

Axiomatization issues

- non-compactness

$$T = \{\neg G\alpha\} \cup \{\bigcirc^n \alpha \mid n \in \omega\}$$

Temporal base

Axiomatization issues

- non-compactness

$$T = \{\neg G\alpha\} \cup \{\bigcirc^n \alpha \mid n \in \omega\}$$

- probability of $\alpha U \beta$ – beyond finite additivity (todo)

Temporal base

Axiomatization issues

- non-compactness
$$T = \{\neg G\alpha\} \cup \{\bigcirc^n \alpha \mid n \in \omega\}$$
- probability of $\alpha U \beta$ – beyond finite additivity (todo)
- Semantical property: if $T \models \alpha$, then $AT \models A\alpha$.

Temporal base

Axiomatization issues

- non-compactness
$$T = \{\neg G\alpha\} \cup \{\bigcirc^n \alpha \mid n \in \omega\}$$
- probability of $\alpha U \beta$ – beyond finite additivity (todo)
- Semantical property: if $T \models \alpha$, then $AT \models A\alpha$.
- $T \vdash \alpha \Rightarrow AT \vdash A\alpha$ must be theorem