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Spectral Transforms

1. easier observation of some properties of signals
2. more efficient computation of certain operations

Applications:
- Digital logic design
- Digital signal processing, pattern recognition...
Spectral Transforms

**Spectral transforms** are mathematical operators in linear vector spaces which assign to a function $f$ a corresponding spectrum $S_f$ defined as

$$S_f = T^{-1}F,$$

$T$ - Matrix with basis functions as columns

$F$ - Functional vector for $f$

$$f : \{0,1,..., p-1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1,..., p-1\} \quad \rightarrow \quad F = [f(0), f(1),..., f(p^n - 1)]^T$$

$$S_f = [s_f(0), s_f(1),..., s_f(p^n - 1)]^T \quad S_f = \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{transform matrix} \\ \end{array}\right] \cdot F \quad \rightarrow \quad O(N^2)$$

Function is reconstructed from the spectrum as: $F = TS_f$

**Fast algorithms** are based on the **factorization** of the **transform matrix** into **sparse matrices** $\rightarrow O(N \log N)$
Example: Vilenkin-Chrestenson Transform

\( p=3, n=2 \)
Pascal’s Triangle and Pascal’s Matrix

- **Pascal’s triangle** is obtained through the arrangement of binomial coefficients, appearing in the expansion of \((x + y)^q\), where \(q\) is a non-negative integer, in staggered rows by the increasing values of \(q\).

- When rows are aligned to the left margin and zeros are added to complete the square, we get the **lower triangular Pascal matrix**.

- Three forms of Pascal’s matrix: **lower triangular**, **upper triangular**, and **symmetric**.
The Discrete Pascal Transform (DPT)

- Introduced by Aburdene and Goodman in 2005, by an *ad hoc* multiplication with -1 of every other column of the Pascal matrix
- Used in digital image processing, pattern recognition, digital watermarking, and related areas
- DPT computing time is a limiting factor in many of its applications
- DPT modulo p equals the Reed-Muller-Fourier (RMF) transform
- Since Pascal’s matrix does not have the Kronecker product structure, the FFT-like algorithms cannot be devised directly
- **Current algorithms** for computing the DPT have $O(N^2)$ asymptotical time complexity
- Find a method for computing the DPT in $O(N \log N)$
Edge Detection by using the DPT

The Discrete Pascal Transform (DPT)

- The **discrete Pascal transform** of a function $f$, specified in $N$ points and represented by its function vector $F$ of length $N$, is defined as

\[ S_f = P \cdot F \]

where $P$ is the $(N \times N)$ **discrete Pascal transform matrix** and $S_f$ is the **Pascal spectrum** of $f$.

- The DPT transform matrices for $N = 2, 3, 4$:

\[
\begin{align*}
P_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} & \quad P_3 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} & \quad P_4 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -3 & 3 & -1 \end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]
Computing the DPT by its Definition

- **Example 1**: The DPT of a function $f$, represented by its function vector $F = [1, 2, 3, 6]^T$, can be directly computed as

$$S_f(4) = P_4 \cdot F = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -3 & 3 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

- This algorithm requires $N^2$ multiplications and $N(N - 1)$ additions.

- Arithmetic complexity can be reduced by using the triangular matrix structure, thus halving the number of additions and multiplications – time and space complexity remain $O(N^2)$.
The Fast Pascal Transform (FPT)

• The FPT algorithm requires $\frac{1}{2}N(N-1)$ additions and no multiplications

• Proposed by Skodras in 2006, has $N-1$ steps, output of one step is input for the next

• The FPT factorization for $P_4$:

$$P_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -3 & 3 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$
The Fast Pascal Transform (FPT)

The Algorithm using the Toeplitz Matrix

- Based on the factorization of Pascal’s matrices proposed in [Kailath, Sayed, 1999]
- We modify this factorization by using the Hadamard product with the vector consisting of ±1 integers to convert Pascal’s matrix into the Pascal transform matrix
- Pascal’s matrix is decomposed into a product of three matrices with special structure - two diagonal and one Toeplitz matrix
- The Toeplitz matrix is embedded into a circulant matrix of order $2N$, which can be diagonalized by the Fourier matrix
- This allows the use of the FFT and leads to an $O(N \log N)$ algorithm
The Algorithm using the Toeplitz Matrix

- Factorization: \( P = \text{diag}(V_1) \cdot T \cdot \text{diag}(V_2) \)

- Diagonal matrices:

\[
V_1 = \begin{bmatrix}
0! \\
1! \\
2! \\
3! \\
\vdots \\
(N-1)!
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
1 \\
1 \\
2 \\
6 \\
\vdots \\
(N-1)!
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
V_2 = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{1}{0!} \\
\frac{1}{1!} \\
\frac{1}{2!} \\
\frac{1}{3!} \\
\vdots \\
\frac{1}{(N-1)!}
\end{bmatrix} \circ \begin{bmatrix}
1 \\
1 \\
-1 \\
1 \\
\vdots \\
-1
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
1 \\
\frac{1}{2} \\
-1 \\
\frac{1}{6} \\
\vdots \\
-\frac{1}{(N-1)!}
\end{bmatrix}
\]
The Algorithm using the Toeplitz Matrix

• The Toeplitz matrix:

\[
T = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{1}{0!} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\frac{1}{1!} & \frac{1}{0!} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\frac{1}{2!} & \frac{1}{1!} & \frac{1}{0!} & \cdots & 0 \\
\frac{1}{3!} & \frac{1}{2!} & \frac{1}{1!} & \cdots & 0 \\
& \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\frac{1}{(N-1)!} & \frac{1}{(N-2)!} & \frac{1}{(N-3)!} & \cdots & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]
The Algorithm using the Toeplitz Matrix

- Since the entries in the Toeplitz matrix have very different magnitudes, numerical stability must be addressed.
- Introduction of the factor $\alpha \approx \frac{N - 1}{e}$ [Tang, Duraiswami, Gumerov, 2004]
- Factorization becomes: $P(\alpha) = \text{diag}(V_1(\alpha)) \cdot T(\alpha) \cdot \text{diag}(V_2(\alpha))$, where

$$V_1(\alpha) = \begin{bmatrix}
1 \\
\frac{1}{\alpha} \\
\frac{2}{\alpha^2} \\
\frac{6}{\alpha^3} \\
\vdots \\
\frac{(N-1)!}{\alpha^{N-1}}
\end{bmatrix} \quad V_2(\alpha) = \begin{bmatrix}
1 \\
-\alpha \\
-\frac{\alpha^2}{1} \\
-\frac{\alpha^3}{2} \\
\vdots \\
-\frac{\alpha^{N-1}}{(N-1)!}
\end{bmatrix}$$
The Algorithm using the Toeplitz Matrix

1) Generate $V_1(\alpha)$, $V_2(\alpha)$, and the first column of $T(\alpha)$

2) Compute $Y(\alpha) = V_2(\alpha) \circ F$

3) Embed $T(\alpha)$ into a circulant matrix of order $2N$. By concatenating the first column and the first transposed row of $T(\alpha)$, vector $X(\alpha)$ is formed. If we pad the vector $Y(\alpha)$ of length $N$ with zeros to reach the length of $2N$, the computation can proceed as follows:

a) Compute the FFT of $Y(\alpha)$

b) Compute the FFT of $X(\alpha)$

c) Compute $Z(\alpha) = X(\alpha) \circ Y(\alpha)$

d) Compute the inverse FFT of $Z(\alpha)$

4) Compute the component-wise product of $V_1(\alpha)$ and the first $N$ components of $Z(\alpha)$
## Computational Complexity of the Algorithms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operation</th>
<th>Definition DPT</th>
<th>Triangular DPT</th>
<th>Goodman-Aburdene</th>
<th>FPT</th>
<th>Toeplitz-FFT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplication</td>
<td>$N^2$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}N(N-1)$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}N(N-1)-(N-1)$</td>
<td>$0$</td>
<td>$5N$ (real), $2N + 6N \log_2 N$ (complex)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>addition</td>
<td>$N(N-1)$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}N(N-1)$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}N(N-1)$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}N(N-1)$</td>
<td>$6N \log_2 N$ (complex)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>division</td>
<td>$0$</td>
<td>$0$</td>
<td>$0$</td>
<td>$0$</td>
<td>$2N$ (real)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Arithmetic Complexity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operation</th>
<th>Definition DPT</th>
<th>Triangular DPT</th>
<th>Goodman-Aburdene</th>
<th>FPT</th>
<th>Toeplitz-FFT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplication</td>
<td>$N^2$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}N(N-1)$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}N(N-1)-(N-1)$</td>
<td>$0$</td>
<td>$5N$ (real), $2N + 6N \log_2 N$ (complex)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>addition</td>
<td>$N(N-1)$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}N(N-1)$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}N(N-1)$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}N(N-1)$</td>
<td>$6N \log_2 N$ (complex)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>division</td>
<td>$0$</td>
<td>$0$</td>
<td>$0$</td>
<td>$0$</td>
<td>$2N$ (real)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Asymptotic Complexity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operation</th>
<th>Definition DPT</th>
<th>Triangular DPT</th>
<th>Goodman-Aburdene</th>
<th>FPT</th>
<th>Toeplitz-FFT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiplication</td>
<td>$O(N^2)$</td>
<td>$O(N^2)$</td>
<td>$O(N^2)$</td>
<td>$O(N^2)$</td>
<td>$O(N \log N)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Example: $N = 2^{20}$

- FPT – 549,755,289,600 integer additions
- Toeplitz-FFT – 7,340,032 real and 266,338,304 complex operations
Implementation of the Algorithms

• Computation of the DPT by the definition practically feasible only for small functions (up to $N = 2^{16}$)

• The FPT algorithm:
  – $N - 1$ sequential steps - input for each step depends on the output of the previous step
  – requires out-of-place implementation, difficult to exploit parallelism on modern processors

• The algorithm using the Toeplitz matrix and the FFT:
  – $\log N$ steps
  – Nvidia cuFFT library offers efficient FFT computations on the GPU
  – component-wise real and complex vector multiplications performed efficiently on GPUs
# Experimental Platform

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Specification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CPU</td>
<td>Intel Xeon E5-1620 Haswell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>microarchitecture</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clock (GHz)</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>processing power</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(GFLOPS) cores/threads</td>
<td>4/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAM</td>
<td>32GB DDR4 ECC 2133 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPU</td>
<td>Nvidia Quadro K620 Kepler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>microarchitecture</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>processing power</td>
<td>768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(GFLOPS) cores</td>
<td>384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>memory type</td>
<td>2 GB DDR3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bandwidth (GB/s)</td>
<td>28.8 GB/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OS</td>
<td>Windows 10 64-bit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDE</td>
<td>Microsoft Visual Studio 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPU SDK</td>
<td>Nvidia CUDA Toolkit 8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPU Profiler</td>
<td>Nvidia Nsight VS Edition 5.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Considered Implementations

• Randomly generated vectors as input data – elements generated in the range of pixel values in RGBA images [0, 255]

• Implementations:
  – **Def. DPT CPU** - the algorithm using the direct multiplication of the lower triangular DPT matrix with the function vector, implemented in C/C++ and processed on the CPU, used only as a performance baseline
  – **FPT CPU, FPT GPU** - the FPT algorithm implementations for CPUs and GPUs. Since the CUDA GPU implementation of this algorithm we first developed resulted in low performance, we also implemented the algorithm in C/C++ for processing on the CPU
  – **TFFT GPU** - the proposed algorithm, implemented in CUDA C/C++ and processed on the GPU, uses the Nvidia cuFFT
Experimental Results - Computing Times [ms]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>$N = 2^n$</th>
<th>CPU</th>
<th>GPU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Def. DPT CPU</td>
<td>FPT CPU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>16,384</td>
<td>466</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>32,768</td>
<td>1,639</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>65,536</td>
<td>7,705</td>
<td>618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>131,072</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2,432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>262,144</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9,589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>524,288</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>41,243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1,048,576</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>161,831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>2,097,152</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>990,056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>4,194,304</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&gt; 1 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>8,388,608</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&gt; 1 h</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Experimental Results - Computing Times [ms]

DPT by definition is feasible only for functions up to \( N = 65,536 \)

FPT CPU showed better performance than the FPT GPU - from 1.58\(	imes\) to 2.56\(	imes\)

TFFT GPU is from 32\(	imes\) up to three orders of magnitude faster than the FPT

Size of the input function (\( N \))

- Def. CPU
- FPT CPU
- FPT GPU
- TFFT GPU
Conclusions

• An efficient method for the computation of the discrete Pascal transform, characterized by the $O(N \log N)$ asymptotical time complexity

• The algorithm is based on the factorization of the DPT matrix into three matrices with special structure – two diagonal and one Toeplitz matrix and uses the FFT

• The proposed algorithm is very suitable for highly-parallel computation on the GPUs - from 32× up to three orders of magnitude faster than the FPT

• The application of the proposed method can significantly extend the set of problem instances to which the DPT can be applied

• Future work: evaluation of the performance of the proposed algorithm for edge detection in high-resolution images
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