Various notions of computability of subsets of topological and metric spaces (2)

Vedran Čačić, Marko Horvat, Zvonko Iljazović

23/09/2021

Let (X, d, α) be a computable metric space, $A, B \subseteq X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. We write $A \preceq_{\varepsilon} B$ if for all $a \in A$, there exists $b \in B$ such that $d(a, b) < \varepsilon$. We write $A \approx_{\varepsilon} B$ if $A \preceq_{\varepsilon} B$ and $B \preceq_{\varepsilon} A$. Let (X, d, α) be a computable metric space, $A, B \subseteq X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. We write $A \preceq_{\varepsilon} B$ if for all $a \in A$, there exists $b \in B$ such that $d(a, b) < \varepsilon$. We write $A \approx_{\varepsilon} B$ if $A \preceq_{\varepsilon} B$ and $B \preceq_{\varepsilon} A$.

 $N \subseteq X$ is computable if N is compact and there is a recursive function $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $N \approx_{2^{-k}} \Lambda_{\varphi(k)}$.

Let (X, d, α) be a computable metric space, $A, B \subseteq X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. We write $A \preceq_{\varepsilon} B$ if for all $a \in A$, there exists $b \in B$ such that $d(a, b) < \varepsilon$. We write $A \approx_{\varepsilon} B$ if $A \preceq_{\varepsilon} B$ and $B \preceq_{\varepsilon} A$.

 $N \subseteq X$ is computable if N is compact and there is a recursive function $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $N \approx_{2^{-k}} \Lambda_{\varphi(k)}$.

When (X, d) is complete, we get that N is compact at a discount:

Lemma

Let (X, d, α) be a computable metric space such that (X, d) is complete. If N is closed in (X, d) and there is a recursive function $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $N \approx_{2^{-k}} \Lambda_{\varphi(k)}$, then N is computable in (X, d, α) .

Proof.

Since N is a closed subset of a complete metric space, N is also complete. Additionally, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a finite set $\Lambda_{\varphi(k)}$ such that $N \preceq_{2^{-k}} \Lambda_{\varphi(k)}$, so N is totally bounded. These two properties of N imply that N is compact.

We say that $M \subseteq X$ is computable from above in (X, d, α) if there is a recursive $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $M \preceq_{2^{-k}} \Lambda_{f(k)}$.

 $N \subseteq X$ is computable if N is compact and there is a recursive function $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $N \approx_{2^{-k}} \Lambda_{\varphi(k)}$.

This is the theorem we want to prove next:

Theorem

Let (X, d, α) be a computable metric space such that (X, d) is complete. Then $M \subseteq X$ is computable from above in (X, d, α) if and only if there exists a computable $N \supseteq M$.

Theorem

Let (X, d, α) be a computable metric space such that (X, d) is complete. Then $M \subseteq X$ is computable from above in (X, d, α) if and only if there exists a computable $N \supseteq M$.

Proof.

 \leftarrow Trivial. For all k, $N \approx_{2^{-k}} \Lambda_{f(k)}$ implies $N \preceq_{2^{-k}} \Lambda_{f(k)}$, which in turn implies $M \preceq_{2^{-k}} \Lambda_{f(k)}$ since $M \subseteq N$.

 \Rightarrow We define the (c.e.) relation $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{N}^3$ with $\Gamma(u, k, v)$: \iff

 $\begin{aligned} (\forall j \in [u])(j \in [v]) \land & (\Gamma_1) \\ (\forall j \in [v])(\exists j' \in [u])(d(\alpha_j, \alpha_{j'}) < 3 \cdot 2^{-k}) \land & (\Gamma_2) \\ (\forall j \in [f(k+1)])(\forall j' \in [u])(d(\alpha_j, \alpha_{j'}) \leq \frac{3}{2} \cdot 2^{-k} \rightarrow j \in [v]). \ (\Gamma_3) \end{aligned}$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \Lambda_u \subseteq \Lambda_v & \Lambda_v \preceq_{3\cdot 2^{-k}} \Lambda_u & \text{given by } f \text{ in next step and} \\ \text{no one left behind} & \text{goes in} \Rightarrow \text{close} & \text{really close} \Rightarrow \text{goes in} \end{array}$

$$\begin{aligned} (\forall j \in [u])(j \in [v]) \land & (\Gamma_1) \\ (\forall j \in [v])(\exists j' \in [u])(d(\alpha_j, \alpha_{j'}) < 3 \cdot 2^{-k}) \land & (\Gamma_2) \\ (\forall j \in [f(k+1)])(\forall j' \in [u])(d(\alpha_j, \alpha_{j'}) \le \frac{3}{2} \cdot 2^{-k} \to j \in [v]). \ (\Gamma_3) \end{aligned}$$

We can prove that $\forall u \forall k \exists v \Gamma(u, k, v)$: simply take v such that

$$[v] = [u] \cup \{ j \in [f(k+1)] : d(\alpha_j, \Lambda_u) < 2 \cdot 2^{-k} \}.$$

Hence there exists a recursive function φ such that for all u and k we have $\Gamma(u, k, \varphi(u, k))$. We define by primitive recursion:

$$\psi(0) \coloneqq f(0),$$

 $\psi(k+1) \coloneqq \varphi(\psi(k), k).$

The function ψ is recursive and for all k, $\Gamma(\psi(k), k, \psi(k+1))$ holds. Let

$$N:=\bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\Lambda_{\psi(k)}.$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} (\forall j \in [u])(j \in [v]) & (\Gamma_{1}) \\ (\forall j \in [v])(\exists j' \in [u])(d(\alpha_{j}, \alpha_{j'}) < 3 \cdot 2^{-k}) & (\Gamma_{2}) \\ & \Lambda_{\psi(k)} \approx_{3 \cdot 2^{-k}} \Lambda_{\psi(k+1)} (\text{due to } (\Gamma_{1}), (\Gamma_{2})) \\ & \Lambda_{\psi(k)} \approx_{3 \cdot 2^{-k}} \Lambda_{\psi(k+1)} \approx_{3 \cdot 2^{-k-1}} \Lambda_{\psi(k+2)} \approx_{3 \cdot 2^{-k-2}} \Lambda_{\psi(k+3)} \dots \\ & \Lambda_{\psi(k_{1})} \approx_{3 \cdot 2^{-k_{1}}(1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{4}+\dots)} \Lambda_{\psi(k_{2})} \text{ when } k_{1} < k_{2} \\ & \Lambda_{\psi(k_{1})} \approx_{3 \cdot 2^{1-k_{1}}} \Lambda_{\psi(k_{2})} \text{ when } k_{1} < k_{2} \\ & \Lambda_{\psi(k_{1})} \approx_{3 \cdot 2^{1-k_{1}}} \int_{k} \Lambda_{\psi(k)} (\text{use } (\Gamma_{1}) \text{ for } k \leq k_{1}) \\ & \Lambda_{\psi(k_{1})} \approx_{4 \cdot 2^{1-k_{1}}} \overline{\bigcup_{k}} \Lambda_{\psi(k)} \\ & \Lambda_{\psi(k_{1})} \approx_{2^{-(k_{1}-3)}} N \end{array}$$

Hence, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\Lambda_{\psi(k+3)}\approx_{2^{-k}}N.$$

Since $k \mapsto \psi(k+3)$ is recursive, by our Lemma N is computable.

$(\forall j \in [f(k+1)])(\forall j' \in [u])(d(\alpha_j, \alpha_{j'}) \le \frac{3}{2} \cdot 2^{-k} \to j \in [v]) \quad (\Gamma_3)$

Finally, to prove $M \subseteq N$, we first prove that for all k, $M \preceq_{2^{-k}} \Lambda_{\psi(k)}$ holds. We do so by induction on k:

$$k = 0$$
 We have that $M \preceq_{2^{-k}} \Lambda_{f(0)} = \Lambda_{\psi(0)}$.

Let $x \in M$. From $M \leq_{2^{-(k+1)}} \Lambda_{f(k+1)}$, there exists $j \in [f(k+1)]$ such that $d(x, \alpha_j) < 2^{-k-1}$. The induction hypothesis implies there exists $j' \in [\psi(k)]$ such that $d(x, \alpha_{j'}) < 2^{-k}$ holds. We now have

$$d(\alpha_j,\alpha_{j'})<\frac{3}{2}\cdot 2^{-k},$$

so $j \in [\psi(k+1)]$ due to (Γ_3) .

To see that $M \subseteq N$, let $x \in M$. For all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there is an $x_k \in \Lambda_{\psi(k)}$ such that $d(x, x_k) < 2^{-k}$. The sequence (x_k) in $\bigcup_k \Lambda_{\psi(k)}$ converges to x, so $x \in \overline{\bigcup_k \Lambda_{\psi(k)}} = N$.

Theorem

Let (X, d, α) be a computable metric space. If $K \subseteq X$ is semicomputable, then K is computable from above.

Proof.

We define

$$\Gamma \coloneqq \{(k,j) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \colon K \subseteq J_j, \rho_i < 2^{-k} \text{ for all } i \in [j]\}.$$

The set K is compact, so it is totally bounded. Hence, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\Gamma(k, j)$ holds. By the selection theorem, there exists a recursive function $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Gamma(k, \varphi(k))$ holds. Hence we have

 $K \preceq_{2^{-k}} {\lambda_i : i \in [\varphi(k)]}.$

Let τ be the bijective enumeration of \mathbb{N}^2 and τ_1 its first coordinate map. Then by the above we get

$$K \preceq_{2^{-k}} \{\alpha_i \colon i \in \tau_1([\varphi(k)])\}.$$

The function $k \mapsto \tau_1([\varphi(k)])$ is c.f.v., so there exists a recursive function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $[f(k)] = \tau_1([\varphi(k)])$. Therefore,

$$\mathsf{K} \preceq_{2^{-k}} \{\alpha_i \colon i \in [f(k)]\} = \Lambda_{f(k)}.$$

Note that the converse does not hold in general by a simple cardinality argument. There exist only countably many semicomputable sets (as there are only countably many recursive functions), but e.g. every one-element subset of [0, 1] is computable from above (due to the trivial direction of the first theorem).